



City of Aberdeen
Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Periodic Update

Public Participation Plan



INTRODUCTION

The City of Aberdeen is undertaking a periodic review of its Shoreline Master Program (SMP), as required by the Washington State Shoreline Management Act (SMA), RCW 90.58.080(4). The SMA requires each SMP be reviewed and revised, if needed, on an eight-year schedule established by the Legislature. The schedule directs Grays Harbor County and all its cities to complete periodic reviews by June 30, 2023 (*as amended to match with grant funding timelines*). The review ensures the SMP stays current with changes in laws and rules; remains consistent with other Aberdeen plans and regulations; and is responsive to changed circumstances, new information, and improved data.

The SMA and SMP guidelines require local governments to encourage active and early participation in the SMP periodic review by all persons, private groups, public agencies, and tribes that have an interest or responsibility related to shorelines of the state. The City has developed this Public Participation Plan to meet State requirements for public involvement in the update process, and to address the priorities and issues specific to Aberdeen. This plan is a working document and will be adjusted as needed to provide for the greatest and broadest public participation.

Project Scope:

The scope of the periodic review will be generally limited to those items which are required to be updated according to new laws or rules, as identified on Ecology's checklist.

1.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION GOALS

The overall goal of the Public Participation Plan is to build support for timely adoption of the SMP periodic review. This plan provides key steps that are intended to effectively support the overall objectives of the SMA. Throughout the process, there are many goals to guide the review, including:

- Provide interested parties with timely information, an understanding of the process, and multiple opportunities to review and comment on proposed amendments to the SMP.
- Solicit information from citizens, property owners and stakeholders about their concerns, questions and priorities for the Periodic Review process.
- Encourage interested parties to informally review and comment on proposed changes to the SMP throughout the process and provide those comments to decision makers.
- Consider viewpoints of all participants, even if views are not reflected in the outcomes.
- Consult and consider recommendations from neighboring jurisdictions, federal and state agencies, and Native American tribes.
- Utilize a transparent process which clearly documents all public input and makes it available for any and all to review.

1.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN THEMES

The following project themes will guide the overall outreach program and be promoted through communication materials and opportunities facilitated by City staff, Planning Commission, City Council members, and AHBL.

- **Required by State Laws and Rules:** The SMA requires the SMP periodic review. It is subject to the SMA and Ecology Administrative Rules (SMP Guidelines WAC 173-26).
- **State and Local Partnership:** The SMP is a partnership between local governments and Ecology. The City develops the SMP, but both the local government and Ecology must approve it. The City received state grant funding to do this work and it must adhere to the requirements of the grant agreement.
- **Promoting the Local Vision:** State law and rules dictate what shoreline elements are required, provide direction for local policies and regulations, and the minimum standards for most areas. However, there are varying degrees of latitude in several elements that allows particular attention to be paid to the vision the City has for its shorelines.
- **The Benefits of the SMP:** The SMP emphasizes not only the protection of shoreline functions, but also the development of public access and water-oriented uses. As such, the SMP must promote both human needs and environmental conservation. By requiring no net loss of current function and values and promoting restoration through non-regulatory means, the SMP will ensure future generations can also benefit from shoreline resources.
- **Balanced Plan, Honest Broker:** The goal of the SMP periodic review is to create a balanced plan for shoreline utilization and protection. City staff and AHBL will inform the community what is required under the SMA and SMP Guidelines, identify those areas where the community has greater latitude for promoting the local vision, clarify erroneous information, and create collaborative solutions that respond to community needs, and balance the interests of stakeholders.
- **Convenient Access and Participation by All:** Easy, convenient, and equal access to information for all interested parties is essential to the process. City staff and AHBL will ensure that information, both background and substantive regulatory proposals, is easy to obtain, timely, pertinent, and available in both electronic and paper formats.
- **Transparent Decision-Making Process:** Public input on the Draft SMP will be documented and considered. The rationale behind decisions will be communicated. Decision-making will be open, transparent, and public.

1.2 GUIDING PRINCIPLES

For any participation process, it is important to adhere to a set of guiding principles. For the SMP update, these principles include:

1. Communicate the purpose, scope, and objectives of the SMP throughout the duration of the update process (i.e., schedule, decision milestones, progress, and involvement opportunities).
2. Conduct public involvement consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, the SMA, and City policies for transparent and open government.
3. Seek out and use input from local stakeholders about opportunities and problems, rather than solely relying on the opinions of technical experts.
4. Define and effectively communicate the roles and interests of all participants.
5. Keep all written communication clear, concise, objective, and free of technical jargon.
6. Use the City's website, mailings, newsletters, and other media to provide and distribute general information to the public.
7. Communicate and distribute information/feedback regularly to participants, and at intervals to interested/affected parties. Follow-up would occur by:
 - Informing affected/interested parties of outcomes;
 - Continuously evaluating the process to identify successes and shortcomings, and communicate results to participants; and
 - Evaluating the public participation process for effectiveness with community relationships and on perceptions of effectiveness of the process.
8. Use community resources and energies effectively and efficiently and consider the relative cost-effectiveness of alternative techniques to achieve objectives.

2.0 STAKEHOLDERS / PARTNERS

The Public Participation Plan establishes a process that is designed to reach all audiences that may have an interest in the update process such as:

- City of Aberdeen
- Port of Grays Harbor
- Department of Ecology
- Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)
- Department of Natural Resources
- Grays Harbor County Economic Development Council
- Aberdeen Chamber of Commerce
- Landowners within and adjacent to Aberdeen shorelands
- Business owners
- Recreation groups (Kayakers, pleasure boaters, sport fishers etc.)
- Futurewise
- Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation
- Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
- Quinault Indian Nation
- Cowlitz Indian Tribe
- Nisqually Indian Tribe

- Chehalis Basin Partnership
- Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority
- Grays Harbor Public Utility District
- Grays Harbor Conservation District
- Washington State Department of Transportation
- Washington State Parks
- Army Corps of Engineers
- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- United States Forest Service
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service
- NOAA Fisheries Service
- Neighboring jurisdictions (e.g., Grays Harbor, Montesano, Hoquiam, Cosmopolis, especially their shoreline planners)

Outreach activities are designed to keep these groups informed and updated on key meetings, decision-making milestones, and overall project progress. The public involvement process is intended to prevent last minute surprises and to avoid the misguided perception that specific groups have been discouraged from participating or have not been adequately informed.

3.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPPORTUNITIES

The City is committed to providing multiple opportunities for public participation throughout the process. Aberdeen will use a variety of communication tools to inform the public and encourage their participation, which include the following:

3.1 WEBSITE

The City will use its website, to allow for interested citizens to access draft documents and maps, view the project schedule, check for meeting notices and materials, see submitted public comments, obtain contact information, and submit comments.

3.2 DIRECT MAILING AND PUBLIC NOTICES

At the beginning of the project, postcards may be sent to all residents owning property within or near shoreline jurisdiction, providing notice of the project’s launch and giving instructions on how to sign up for the mailing list that will be used through the update. Notices of workshops, public hearings, and milestones will be placed on the project website, local jurisdiction websites, and published in the local newspaper (*The Daily World*). A mailing list (email addresses) of interested parties will be maintained and updated to keep the public informed throughout the SMP update process. Email notices will be sent to all parties on the mailing list. State agencies and affected governments will be notified of key milestones in writing via US Mail or email.

3.3 COMMENTS

Interested parties will be encouraged to provide comments to the City. These comments may be provided to the City by letter or email, or by other methods as specified by the City. The Periodic Review

webpage will be the central repository for information under consideration. Hard copy documents will be available by request from the City Clerk's office and will be provided at the established copying cost. All public comments received as part of the SMP Periodic Review project will be posted on the SMP Periodic Review webpage.

3.4 PUBLIC MEETINGS

The City will coordinate with the Department of Ecology on the joint review public comment period and public hearing, in accordance with the joint review process set forth in WAC 173-26-104.

The Planning Commission will hold a public hearing to discuss the draft SMP periodic review document and make a recommendation to the City Council. City staff, as well as Consultant staff, as needed, will attend, and all comments from the public will be documented.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

This section identifies key parties involved in the public participation process, and their roles and responsibilities. It also identifies how public input will be used and the decision-making process that will be followed during the SMP review preparation and local adoption process.

4.1 CITY STAFF

City staff will manage the SMP Update process and review draft policies and regulations developed by the AHBL team. The primary staff contact for the City is the Community Development Director.

4.2 CONSULTANT

AHBL will develop the SMP according to the Ecology Guidelines and direction provided by City staff. AHBL will assist with communication materials and develop presentations. In addition, AHBL will develop all of the major documents comprising the SMP Update deliverables, including preparing a SEPA checklist, if necessary.

City SMP Periodic Review Contacts

Project Manager: Lisa Scott, Community Development Director

lscott@aberdeenwa.gov | 360-537-3238

Project Consultant: Nicole Stickney and Carmen Smith, AHBL

nstickney@ahbl.com | csmith@ahbl.com

4.3 PLANNING COMMISSION

The Planning Commission will review proposed SMP policies and regulations and provide feedback. Staff and AHBL will consider this input, and AHBL will revise the SMP as necessary to address identified concerns, where appropriate. Next, and as established in state law and local enabling legislation, the

Planning Commission will review the draft SMP, take and consider public input, and make formal recommendations to the City Council. Recommendations are not binding.

4.4 CITY COUNCIL

As established in state law, the City Council will review the draft SMP, solicit public input, make changes as desired, consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission, and locally adopt the final SMP. The City Council is the legislative body with the final local decision-making authority for the local adoption of the SMP.

4.5 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AND THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

State law establishes a cooperative program of shoreline management between local government and the state. Ecology provides financial assistance, guidance to local governments in preparing the SMP, and provides written comments on draft SMP components. The local government approves the SMP after a joint public review and comment period. After conclusion of the joint local-state comment period and hearing, the City will submit the proposed amendment to Ecology for initial review. Ecology is required under WAC 173-26-104(3)(b) to provide the City with an initial determination of consistency with the policy of the SMA and applicable rules. The Planning Commission will consider comments received and Ecology’s Initial Determination, then make a recommendation to the City Council regarding adoption of the periodic review amendment. The local government then sends the SMP to Ecology, which reviews it for consistency with the guidelines. Ecology must approve the locally approved and submitted master program before it can take effect. To ensure respect for private property rights, local and state legal authorities are required to review a shoreline program before formal adoption.

5.0 PROJECT TIMELINE

All future dates in the following timeline are tentative and subject to change:

Date	Task
By October 14, 2022	Public Participation Plan Sent to Ecology
By October 14, 2022	AHBL to complete draft review checklist
By October 14, 2022	City to send a letter to potential interested parties (and individuals/ agencies on record who participated in the last update)
By October 28, 2022	AHBL to provide an Initial Draft SMP periodic review document to City Staff
By November 30, 2022	City Staff completes review of Initial Draft document and provides comments back to AHBL
By December 30, 2022	Transmittal of SMP Amendments (SMP document and maps together with a completed periodic review checklist) to Departments of Commerce and Ecology with 60-day Notice of Intent to Adopt

	Begin state agency and environmental review processes, and joint review with Department of Ecology.
By December 30, 2022	Newspaper notice published; Issue SEPA Threshold Determination; City and Ecology joint public comment period begins
January or February 2023	Joint local-state comment period and hearing begins.
January or February 2023	30 day joint public comment period
By March 15, 2023	City issues formal response to public comments
April 2023	City Council Public Hearing* and Adoption
By April 30, 2023	Send final submittal to Ecology for final review
Summer 2023 (Anticipated)	Final Ecology Approval and SMP Adoption
Summer 2023 (Anticipated)	Effective date.

* Requires noticing

6.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIODS AND HEARINGS

A 30-day joint public comment period to solicit input on the Periodic Review and a City Council public hearing will be provided before final adoption.

Aberdeen will coordinate with the Department of Ecology on a joint public notice of comment periods and hearings to take advantage of Ecology’s optional SMP periodic review process that allows for a combined state-local comment period set forth in WAC 173-26-104. Notice will be provided to the agencies and interest groups on the project distribution list (described in Section 2.0, above) and the Department of Ecology.